



Cabinet (Resources) Panel

28 January 2014

Time 5.00pm **Public meeting?** YES **Type of meeting** Executive

Venue Civic Centre, St Peter's Square, Wolverhampton WV1 1SH

Room Committee Room 4 (3rd floor)

*A pre-meeting for members of the Panel will
be held in meeting room 4 at 4.30pm.*

Membership

Chair Cllr Andrew Johnson (Lab)

Labour

Cllr Peter Bilson
Cllr Steve Evans
Cllr Roger Lawrence
Cllr Phil Page
Cllr John Reynolds
Cllr Paul Sweet

Conservative

Liberal Democrat

Quorum for this meeting is two Councillors.

Information for the Public

If you have any queries about this meeting, please contact the democratic support team:

Contact Matthew Vins

Tel 01902 554070

Email matthew.vins@wolverhampton.gov.uk

Address Democratic Support, Civic Centre, 2nd floor, St Peter's Square,
Wolverhampton WV1 1RL

Copies of other agendas and reports are available from:

Website <http://wolverhampton.cmis.uk.com/decisionmaking>

Email democratic.support@wolverhampton.gov.uk

Tel 01902 555045

Some items are discussed in private because of their confidential or commercial nature. These reports are not available to the public.

Agenda

Part 1 – items open to the press and public

Item No. Title

MEETING BUSINESS ITEMS

1. **Apologies for absence**
2. **Declarations of interest**
3. **Minutes of the previous meeting (7 January 2014)**
[For approval]
4. **Matters arising**
[To consider any matters arising from the minutes]

DECISION ITEMS (Amber – delegated to the Cabinet (Resources) Panel)

5. **School Balances 2012/13**
[To approve the process to be taken with regard to challenging schools around the level of their balances and their plans for those resources.]

INFORMATION ITEMS

6. **Schedule of Green Decisions**
[To note the schedule of open and exempt decisions approved by Cabinet Members following consultation with the relevant employees.]

EXCLUSION OF PRESS AND PUBLIC

7. **Exclusion of press and public**
[To pass the following resolution:

That in accordance with Section 100A(4) of the Local Government Act 1972 the press and public be excluded from the meeting for the following items of business as they involve the likely disclosure of exempt information on the grounds shown below.]

Part 2 – exempt items, closed to the press and public

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Grounds for exemption</i>	<i>Applicable paragraph</i>
8.	Corporate Procurement Award of Contracts for Works, Goods and Services [To agree the acceptance of the award and extension of Council contracts as required by the Council's Contract Procedure Rules.]	Information relating to the financial or business affairs of any particular person (including the authority holding the information)	3

INFORMATION ITEMS

9.	Changes to Employee Establishment [To note the schedule of changes approved by Cabinet Members and Directors.]	Information relating to any individuals	1
----	--	---	---



Cabinet (Resources) Panel

Minutes – 7 January 2014

Attendance

Members of the Cabinet (Resources) Panel In Attendance

Cllr Andrew Johnson (Chair)

Cllr Peter Bilson

Cllr Steve Evans

Cllr Phillip Page

Cllr John Reynolds

Cllr Paul Sweet

Employees

Keith Ireland

Strategic Director – Delivery

Tim Johnson

Strategic Director – Education and Enterprise

Mark Taylor

Assistant Director Finance

Marie Bintley

Head of Physical Development

Lydia Barnstaple

Head of Transportation

Simon Aldis

Project Manager

Wendy Trainor

Interim Chief Legal Officer

Martyn Sargeant

Head of Democratic Services

Matthew Vins

Graduate Management Trainee

Apologies for absence were received from Cllr Lawrence.

Part 1 – items open to the press and public

Item No. Title

MEETING BUSINESS ITEMS

2. **Declarations of interests**

3. **Minutes of the Previous Meeting (17 December 2013)**

Resolved:

That the minutes of the meetings held on 17 December 2013 be approved as a correct record and signed by the Chair.

4. **Matters Arising**

There were no matters arising.

DECISION ITEMS (Amber – delegated to the Cabinet (Resources) Panel)

5. HS2 – Response to Government Consultation

Cllr Bilson presented a report about the draft response to Government consultation with regard to High Speed Rail (HS2). He advised that the Council would continue to support the proposals, but explained that this would not be at the expense of Wolverhampton's priorities.

Resolved:

To approve the draft response to Government on the High Speed Rail 2 (HS2) Phase One environmental statement consultation.

INFORMATION ITEMS

6. Schedule of Green Decisions

Resolved:

That the summary of open and exempt green decisions approved by Cabinet Members, following consultation with the appropriate employee, be noted.

EXCLUSION OF PRESS AND PUBLIC

7. Exclusion of Press and Public

Resolved:

That in accordance with Section 100A(4) of the Local Government Act 1972, the press and public be excluded from the meeting for the following item(s) of business as they involve the likely disclosure of exempt information falling within the paragraphs of Schedule 12A of the Act set out below:

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Applicable paragraph</i>
8	Corporate procurement - award of contracts for works, goods and services	3
9	Surplus Properties and Disposal Methods	3
10	Progression of Section 77	3
11	Provision of Hired School Transport	3
12	Changes to Employee Establishment	1

Part 2 – exempt items, closed to the press and public

8. **Corporate Procurement Award of Contracts for Works, Goods and Services**

Cllr Johnson advised the group that the report had been withdrawn.

9. **Revenues and Ratings Matters**

Resolved:

That the recommendations for discretionary rate relief applications be approved.

10. **Bilston Centre Regeneration**

Resolved:

1. To approve the main heads of terms for a new Collaboration Agreement with the Homes and Community Agency for the development and disposal of the Bilson Urban Village site and to delegate the approval of any non-material variation of these to the Cabinet Member for Economic Regeneration and Prosperity in consultation with the Strategic Director Education and Enterprise.
2. To delegate the Council's approval of offers received by the Homes and Community Agency for the commercial development plot fronting the Black Country Route to the Cabinet Member for Economic Regeneration and Prosperity in consultation with the Strategic Director Education and Enterprise.
3. To delegate any future approvals required of the Council under the new Collaboration Agreement to the Cabinet Member for Economic Regeneration and Prosperity in consultation with the Strategic Director Education and Enterprise.
4. To delegate the approval of the contract for the demolition of the High Street properties acquired in connection with the Bilston High Street Link to the Cabinet Member for Economic Regeneration and Prosperity, in consultation with the Strategic Director Education and Enterprise.
5. To approve the principle of acquiring land adjacent to the Urban Village site which is considered necessary to facilitate its development or improve its marketability and subject to existing budget, and to delegate the approval of any terms agreed to the Cabinet Member for Economic Regeneration and Prosperity, in consultation with the Strategic Director Education and Enterprise.
6. That the progress in bringing forward the development of the Bilston Urban Village site and the Bilston High Street Link be noted.
7. That the lack of confirmation from NHS England on permanent funding for the General Practice Surgery at Bankfield Road be noted.

11. **Creation of a Council Temporary Staffing Agency**

Resolved:

1. To approve that Cabinet (Resources) Panel recommend to Council to establish a capital budget for the scheme and to note this would be approved in the Capital Programme report to Council on 5 March 2014.
2. To approve the structure of the Council owned Temporary Staffing Agency as a Limited Company.
3. To approve the trading name of the Company Limited by Guarantee to be YOO Recruit Limited.
4. To approve the proposed Board of Directors for the company:
 - a. Strategic Director Delivery.
 - b. Assistant Director Finance.
 - c. Chief Human Resources Officer.
 - d. Chief Legal Officer (as Company Secretary).
5. To approve a loan for cash flow for the initial three months of trading.
6. To approve a 12 month repayment period for the loan.
7. To note that YOO Recruit Limited will report quarterly to the Cabinet (Resources) Panel in the first 12 months of operation, and every six months thereafter.

12. **Changes to Employee Establishment**

Resolved:

That the employee establishment changes be noted.



Cabinet (Resources) Panel

28 January 2014

Report title	School Balances 2012/13	
Decision designation	AMBER	
Cabinet member with lead responsibility	Councillor Phil Page Schools, Skills and Learning	
Key decision	Yes	
In forward plan	Yes	
Wards affected	All	
Accountable director	Tim Johnson, Education and Enterprise	
Originating service	School Funding and Financial Planning	
Accountable employee(s)	Orlen Johnson	Principal Finance Officer
	Tel	01902 554114
	Email	Orlen.johnson@wolverhampton.gov.uk
Report to be/has been considered by	Wolverhampton Schools' Improvement Partnership	13 December 2013

Recommendation(s) for action or decision:

The Cabinet (Resources) Panel is recommended to:

1. Approve the process to be taken with regard to challenging schools around the level of their balances and their plans for those resources.
2. Agree to receive further updates on the progress and actions agreed with schools.

1.0 Purpose

- 1.1 This is a report, to update Councillors on the level of balances held by schools as at 31 March 2013 with specific focus on those schools that are maintaining high balances. Whilst schools have a right to plan the use of their resources over the medium term and maintain balances, as champions of school improvement the Local Authority has a responsibility to ensure that schools are using the resources delegated to them to good effect. The Local Authority has informed schools of the additional challenge that will be made going forward, Councillors are asked to approve the process to be taken and agree to receive further reports on progress being made.
- 1.2 Regulations on school funding together with the local scheme for financing schools provides that schools may maintain balances, and schools are encouraged to plan for the use of resources over the medium term. However, Department for Education (DfE) guidance makes the point that funding is provided for the children and young people who are currently in school. As champions for school improvement the Local Authority has a responsibility to ensure that resources are being used to deliver the best outcomes for its children and young people.

2.0 Background

- 2.1 A school's right to carry forward surplus balances is covered in Section 4 of the Wolverhampton Scheme for Financing Schools. Section 4.1 states that
- 'Schools may carry forward from one financial year to the next any surplus/deficit in net expenditure relative to the school's budget share for the year plus/minus any balance brought forward from the previous year'*
- 2.2 Where a school is in deficit or its financial planning information suggests that it will be in deficit, the school is required to submit an application seeking the approval of the Local Authority.
- 2.3 Up to March 2010 all local authorities were required to have within their local scheme a balance control mechanism that enabled local authorities to claw back uncommitted balances in excess of (5% secondary school, 8% primary schools and special schools).
- 2.4 DfE guidance issued in December 2010 stated that Local Authorities should consider removing or relaxing their balances control mechanisms. The guidance stated that any balance control mechanisms that remained should have regard to the principle that schools should be moving towards greater autonomy, should not be constrained from making early efficiencies to support their medium-term budgeting in a tighter financial climate, and should not be burdened by bureaucracy.
- 2.5 Where authorities chose to retain a balance control mechanism, this should focus only on those schools:
- a) That have built up significant excessive uncommitted balances

- b) Where some level of redistribution would support improved provision across a local area.
- 2.6 Even if authorities no longer have a claw-back mechanism, there is still an expectation that authorities will challenge any schools that consistently have high, uncommitted surpluses. This is reflected in a consultation published by the DfE in April 2012 entitled 'Improving the Assurance system for Financial Management in Local Authority Maintained Schools'. In the consultation it was proposed that the DfE would ask Local Authorities (Las) that have schools with excessive surpluses for an explanation of the circumstances, and details of the action the Local Authority was taking to bring about change for the future. The trigger for this additional enquiry would be schools with balances in excess of 15% for more than five years.
- 2.7 At the end of 2011/12 there were five schools that met this criteria and the Local Authority was required to provide explanations of the work being done with the schools in question. At the end of 2012/13 there were 13 schools that met these criteria.
- 2.8 Following consultation on the local "***Scheme for financing schools***" the local scheme was updated in April 2012 to provide that:
- a) *The Authority was entitled to request information on the proposed use of surplus balances from any school where surplus balances exceed 8% of the following year's budget share for primary and special schools and by 5% for secondary schools for two consecutive years or in other circumstances where, in the view of the Authority, the level of surplus balances may be cause for concern'.*
 - b) There is an option for claw back of schools balances subject to an arbitration process. Any decision would be made by a panel comprising:
 - a) Governor nominated by the Schools Forum.
 - b) Headteacher nominated by the Wolverhampton Schools' Improvement Partnership (WSIP) or subsequent successor,
 - c) The Strategic Director of Education and Enterprise
 - d) The Chief Financial Officer or his/her nominated representatives.

Any balances clawed back must be redistributed amongst schools in that local learning community within the City of Wolverhampton..

3.0 Schools balances 2012/13

- 3.1 At the end of the 2012/13 financial year school balances stood at £18.1 Million Since that date six schools have converted to academy status with the result that their balances are no longer subject to local authority monitoring.
- 3.2 Within the city 13 schools have now had a surplus balance over 15% for five years meaning that the Local Authority is liable to challenge from the DfE. This is an increase on five schools at the end of 2011/12.

3.3 All schools that have excess surplus balances are asked to submit a pro-forma with details of their intended use of that portion of their balance above 5% for secondary schools and 8% for other schools.

3.4 For 2012/13 the overall balance figures were as follows:

Sector	Total Balances £'000	Number of Schools with Excess Surplus Balances	% of Schools with Excess Surplus Balances	Total Excess Surplus Balances £'000
Secondary	2,964	6	54.6	1,687
Primary	10,396	40	64.5	4,931
Junior	485	2	40.0	113
Infant	735	4	80.0	345
Nursery	844	7	100.0	662
Special	2,680	6	85.7	1,632
Total	18,104	65	67.01	9,370

Details of school individual balances can be found at **Appendix A**

The balances can be analysed across phases as follows:

Balance (£)	No of Schools			
	Secondary	Primary	Nursery	Special
0-50,000	0	9	1	0
50-100,000	0	18	1	2
100-200,000	1	18	5	0
200-300,000	1	10	0	1
300-400,000	0	5	0	0
400,000+	5	5	0	4

3.5 For those schools that have submitted their surplus balance information the intended use of balances is as detailed below:

Sector	Contribution to Building Capital (£'000)	Purchase of ICT (£'000)	Purchase of Fixtures and Fittings (£'000)	Staffing Issues/Single Status (£'000)	Other Projects (£'000)	Total (£'000)
Secondary	928	682	181	147	69	2,007
Primary	2,489	795	409	397	734	4,824
Junior	107	10	6	0	0	123
Infant	276	67	5	3	2	353
Nursery	46	24	32	126	190	418
Special	720	76	157	199	479	1,631
PRU					327	327
Total	4,566	1,654	790	872	1,801	9,683

3.6 In previous years schools' returns listed the following planned use of surplus balances:

	Contribution to Building/ Capital (£'000)	Purchase of ICT (£'000)	Purchase of Fixtures/ Fittings (£'000)	Staffing Issues/ Single Status (£'000)	Other (£'000)	Total (£'000)
2009/10	682	73	113	392	875	2,135
2010/11	1,182	481	599	791	1,531	4,584
2011/12	1,899	831	320	1,014	2,857	6,921

As can be seen from these figures the levels of schools' excess balances have been increasing year-on-year despite schools' submitted spending plans.

3.7 Schools have submitted budget plans, approved by governing bodies, for the current financial year which show a reduction in end of year balances. They forecast that schools will use £5.6Million of reserves and that they will end the year with balances of approximately £11.9Million. The table below shows the 2012/13 balances excluding those schools that have become Academies in 2013/14:

Sector	Total Balances 2012/13 (£'000)	Planned use of balances (£'000)	Forecast balance 31st March 2014 (£'000)
Secondary	2,778	851	1,927
Primary	9,990	3,145	6,845
Junior	485	69	416
Infant	735	124	611
Nursery	844	331	513
Special	2,680	1,120	1,560
Totals	17,512	5,640	11,872

Previous experience has shown that this reduction may not be realised.

3.8 It is recognised that there are a number of issues affecting schools' spending and balance management decisions in the medium term. These can be summarised as:

- The impact of the new pay arrangements following the single status review.
- Turbulence in schools' budgets 2013/14 and 2014/15 due to the government's funding reform agenda.
- Schools funding will continue to be funded at a 0% cash increase per pupil which will mean a reduction in real terms.

Schools also face uncertainty around funding levels due to the introduction of the government's national funding formula in 2015/16. It is anticipated that this will lead to a redistribution of school funding away from local authorities such as Wolverhampton.

Local Authority Strategy for Challenging Schools

3.9 The DfE expresses the view that schools should have the autonomy to plan effectively over a medium term framework and manage their balances in a manner that supports the schools' improvement priorities. As champions of school improvement the Local Authority still has a responsibility to challenge schools where there is evidence to suggest that there is an absence of clear plans for the levels of balances that a school may hold, particularly where the results reflect that the school may not be delivering the best outcomes for the children currently in the school.

3.10 There is a need to be challenging all schools to ensure that the levels of balances are appropriate for the needs of the schools and this process will be strengthened from the beginning when a school submits its three year budget plan through to its planned use of balances at the end of each financial year.

Budget planning and approval process

3.11 At two points in a financial year schools submit budget plans detailing their planned use of resources for the current year and two subsequent years, these plans are currently assessed and where schools are predicting deficits in current year they are required to apply to the local authority for permission to operate in a deficit position. Where a school is estimating a deficit position in the second and third year they are asked to provide additional information regarding their contingency plans to address the predicted deficits. Going forward this process will be strengthened further with the following steps

- 1) All schools budget plans will be reviewed and approved or schools asked to make resubmissions.
- 2) Plans will be assessed to test that all funding assumptions are reasonable and realistic.
- 3) Where a schools plan is predicting balances in excess of 5% (secondary) 8% (primary special schools) at any point in the three year planning period they will need

to provide details of their planned use of balances. Schools predicting deficits will continue to be required to produce explanations regarding contingency plans.

- 4) Where the authority has concerns about the assumptions in the plans, it will be returned and the school will be asked to address the issues highlighted and resubmit the budget plan.
- 5) Where the local authority still has concerns about the adequacy of a school's explanations or a school's resubmission, the local authority will request a meeting with the head teacher and chair of governors to discuss the issues. The meeting will be attended by the school improvement officer, head of service or Assistant Director.
- 6) Schools budget plans will also be assessed to ensure that they reflect strategies for the use of surplus balances brought forward from the previous year. Where budget plans do not demonstrate that surplus balances are being used and the school is unable to evidence acceptable plans for those surplus balances going forward, the Local Authority will progress the process to claw back and redistribute balances as detailed in paragraph 2.8.

- 3.12 In addition to strengthening the challenge of the budget planning process in schools the local authority will also be introducing greater challenge and around the balances that a school holds at the end of a financial year. As detailed at paragraph 3.5 schools currently submit returns detailing their planned use of surplus balances, as part of the school improvement agenda there will now be greater around the adequacy of those plans. Particular focus will be on those settings that meet the following criteria.

Schools (Satisfactory or requiring improvement)

- 3.13 Analysis of schools' balances against OFSTED judgements (Table below) illustrates the importance of challenging satisfactory or requiring improvement schools that may not be using the resources delegated to them to best effect:

Balance (£)	No. of Schools	No. with Good Ofsted	No. judged as satisfactory or requiring improvement
0 - 50,000	10	6	4
50 - 100,000	19	11	8
100 -150,000	12	7	5
150 - 200,000	13	10	3
200 - 250,000	10	8	2
250 - 300,000	2	2	0
300,000+	20	13	7

- 3.14 The table below shows that there are 19 schools judged as satisfactory or requiring improvement that have a surplus balance. The table below shows the planned use of those surplus balances:

School Phase	Number of schools	Contribution to Building/ Capital (£'000)	Purchase of ICT (£'000)	Purchase of Fixtures/ Fittings (£'000)	Staffing Issues/ Single Status (£'000)	Other (£'000)
Secondary	2	928	123	0	147	
Primary	15	1,281	194	43	128	374
Junior	1	6	10	6		
Infant						
Nursery						
Special	1	268	35	15	90	49
Total	19	2,483	362	64	365	423

Actions to be taken

- 3.15 As part of a regular discussion with schools, School Improvement Officers and the School Funding team will work with these schools through a process that will challenge schools to:
- Ascertain a school's plans for all balances held by the school.
 - Review the school's priorities for the use of balances and their suitability for the improvement agenda needed at the school.
 - Recommend some revisions to a school's spending plans where appropriate.
 - Communication of the findings and action to be taken by the school and the Local Authority will be sent to each member of the governing body.

Schools with balances in excess of 15% for more than five years

- 3.16 For these schools the information would suggest that there may not be clear plans for the balances held by these schools, the local authority will be required to demonstrate that it has plans with the schools to effect a change in these settings.

Action to be taken

- 3.17 As part of a regular discussion with schools, School Improvement Officers and the School Funding team will work with these schools through a process that will challenge schools to:
- Understand why these schools have built such levels over a prolonged period of time.
 - Ascertain what these schools are doing to work to an "outstanding" judgement.

- c) Understand the schools' plans for the resources going forward and their expected impact on school improvement outcomes.
 - d) Ascertain the risks to school improvement if resources were removed for redistribution.
 - e) Understand the effect of funding reform on the schools budget settlements in 2013/14. I.e. what is the level of Minimum Funding Guarantee (MFG) within each school's settlement.
- 3.18 Findings will be reported back to Councillors and School Forum with recommendations for action to be taken. Decisions around whether any elements are ear marked for redistribution will be made through an arbitration process as detailed in section 4 of the scheme for financing schools with schools being given the opportunity to attend to aid discussions.

Other schools with surpluses

- 3.19 Where a school has been in surplus for more than **two** consecutive years additional scrutiny will be directed around balances.

Action to be taken

- 3.20 As part of a regular discussion with schools, School Improvement Officers and the School Funding team will work with these schools through a process that will:
- a) Monitor the progress being made against plans detailed in the schools' surplus balance return.
 - b) Consider the schools priorities for the use of balances against the school improvement needs of the school.

- 3.21 Findings will be reported back to Councillors and School Forum with recommendations for actions to be taken.

4.0 Financial implications

- 4.1 Schools have a right to hold reasonable levels of balances and plan for the use of those balances over the medium term. Schools are to be made aware that where there is evidence that there are no plans for the use of balances held and redistribution would be beneficial to the wider school community resources could ultimately be clawed back.

Reference No: [CF/15012014/S]

5.0 Legal implications

- 5.1 A school's right to hold balances is regulated by Section 45 – 53 of School Standards and Framework Act 1998 and is contained within section 4 of the authority's Scheme for financing schools, as approved by the schools forum.

Reference No: [RB/14012014/D]

6.0 Equalities implications

- 6.1 The allocation of resources to schools has a major impact on a school's ability to deliver its desired outcomes and as such has implications for all pupils in the wider school community and within Education and Enterprise.

7.0 Environmental implications

- 7.1 There are no direct environmental implications as a result of the proposals of this report.

8.0 Human resources implications

- 8.1 There are no direct Human resources implications as a result of the proposals of this report, but should an element of a school's balance was clawed back for redistribution this could have implications on the resources available to meet costs of existing staffing structures.

9.0 Schedule of background papers

- 9.1 School Forum report – School Balances 2012/13 (24 October 2013),
Wolverhampton School Improvement Partnership – Schools Balances (13 December 2013)

Appendix A

Individual School balances 2011/12 & 2012/13

Secondary School	2011/12		2012/13	
	End of Year Balance	% Budget Share	End of Year Balance	% Budget Share
Colton Hills Community	128,763	2.65%	(19,999)	-0.43%
Coppice Community High	64,893	1.45%	(36,966)	-0.82%
Deansfield High	348,303	8.42%	206,898	5.28%
Highfields	208,544	2.95%	172,756	2.42%
Moreton Community	576,590	12.49%	638,347	13.18%
Our Lady & St Chad Catholic	640,701	13.09%	919,118	18.92%
St Edmunds Catholic	99,028	2.46%	186,491	4.48%
Smestow	296,492	6.02%	526,633	10.52%
The King's Church of England	521,223	11.38%	264,738	5.99%
Wednesfield High	(403,978)	-8.15%	(430,630)	-8.45%
Wolverhampton Girls High Foundation	555,886	14.62%	536,675	13.77%
Total Secondary Schools	3,036,445	5.80%	2,964,061	5.65%

Primary Schools	2011/12		2012/13	
	End of Year Balance	% Budget Share	End of Year Balance	% Budget Share
Castlecroft Primary	40,385	3.64%	(27,139)	-2.51%
Claregate Primary	137,517	9.81%	112,529	8.16%
D'Eyncourt Primary	104,581	10.01%	129,205	12.28%
Dunstall Hill Primary	68,528	6.38%	82,043	8.32%
Eastfield Primary	169,949	16.96%	105,522	10.51%
Goldthorn Park Primary	54,381	4.15%	37,785	2.86%
Graiseley Primary	109,540	12.33%	66,952	7.85%
Hill Avenue Primary	36,521	3.21%	1,868	0.17%
Lanesfield Primary	218,348	20.44%	209,587	19.85%
Long Knowle Primary	127,554	14.42%	87,866	10.23%
Loxdale Primary	145,613	15.76%	38,000	4.01%
Manor Primary	399,121	27.76%	405,672	27.72%
Merridale Primary	115,483	12.59%	123,455	13.95%
Oak Meadow Primary	595,976	42.92%	614,690	44.34%
Oxley Primary	45,628	5.28%	35,155	3.88%
Palmers Cross Primary	109,706	11.90%	79,028	8.53%
Parkfield Primary	187,082	22.45%	99,972	12.09%
Springvale Primary	93,205	10.08%	93,758	10.54%
Stowlawn Primary	407,767	41.21%	366,178	35.72%
Villiers Primary	127,439	5.88%	43,288	2.05%
Wilkinson Primary	198,249	16.71%	294,941	23.64%
Wood End Primary	121,163	14.54%	89,371	10.59%
Corpus Christi Catholic Primary	160,601	22.60%	92,440	12.27%
Holy Rosary Catholic Primary	151,536	18.58%	91,735	11.35%
Holy Trinity Catholic Primary	154,651	16.75%	135,947	14.81%
St Alban's Church of England Primary	56,901	8.04%	56,187	8.07%
St Anthony's Catholic Primary	216,185	18.04%	242,263	20.75%
St Bartholomew's Church of England Primary	148,984	12.50%	82,775	7.05%
St Mary's Catholic Primary	49,141	3.46%	45,382	3.30%
SS Mary & John Catholic Primary	88,286	11.45%	42,189	5.51%
St Martin's Church of England Primary	271,593	30.45%	182,027	19.71%
St Michael's Church of England Primary	73,521	10.13%	31,835	4.42%
St Michael's Catholic Primary	75,183	8.55%	(2,458)	-0.30%
St Patrick's Catholic Primary	230,958	28.11%	254,307	30.38%

This report is PUBLIC
[NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED]

St Paul's Church of England (Aided)	184,046	19.97%	158,616	18.04%
St Stephen's Church of England Primary	123,321	11.89%	67,649	6.82%
St Teresa's Catholic Primary	145,600	23.08%	81,993	12.81%
St Thomas' Church of England Primary	174,581	24.22%	151,482	21.25%
The Giffard Catholic Primary	94,213	11.08%	96,035	11.72%
Warstones Primary	262,645	20.51%	229,546	17.38%
Wodensfield Primary	68,121	4.40%	8,706	0.55%
Berrybrook Primary (Underhill)	215,849	20.55%	176,055	17.22%
Perry Hall Primary	175,896	12.06%	187,509	12.61%
Dovecotes Primary	41,567	4.06%	142,205	14.19%
Elston Hall Primary	229,353	12.13%	168,844	8.32%
Grove Primary	174,358	17.09%	220,767	19.89%
Woodthorne Primary	46,284	3.58%	(1,446)	-0.11%
Bilston Church of England Primary	175,249	19.68%	107,467	12.08%
West Park Primary	353,866	31.28%	339,613	32.69%
Field View (Greenacres) Primary	132,002	8.73%	163,347	10.04%
Trinity Church of England Primary	187,477	11.07%	235,599	14.43%
St Luke's Church of England Aided Primary	308,814	18.81%	338,578	21.00%
Bantock Primary	233,443	17.80%	194,980	16.94%
St Andrews Church of England Primary	360,698	22.57%	441,694	29.53%
Fallings Park Primary	341,023	19.72%	347,574	19.67%
St Jude's Church of England Primary	199,619	11.97%	216,080	13.31%
Rake Gate Primary	170,722	11.94%	216,196	15.28%
Northwood Park Primary	164,716	10.06%	69,941	4.34%
Bushbury Hill Primary	396,642	27.89%	338,548	25.35%
Edward The Elder Primary	98,895	10.45%	68,834	7.52%
East Park Primary*	651,320	23.44%	790,049	29.19%
Stowheath Primary*	414,338	18.85%	494,915	24.31%
Total Primary Schools	11,415,932	15.49%	10,395,734	14.26%

Junior Schools	2011/12		2012/13	
	End of Year Balance	% Budget Share	End of Year Balance	% Budget Share
Springdale Junior	191,823	17.94%	117,238	10.81%
Uplands Junior	121,470	10.95%	80,549	7.08%
Whitgreave Junior	48,599	6.02%	182,803	20.39%
Woodfield Junior	49,025	4.11%	59,520	5.07%
Christ Church (C of E) Junior	72,355	9.40%	44,745	5.86%
Total Junior Schools	483,272	9.76%	484,855	9.59%

Infant Schools	2011/12		2012/13	
	End of Year Balance	% Budget Share	End of Year Balance	% Budget Share
Springdale Infant	122,731	11.96%	168,201	17.09%
Westacre Infant	178,446	19.18%	213,864	23.51%
Whitgreave Infant	170,253	14.59%	168,051	14.79%
Woodfield Infant	70,410	6.13%	62,726	5.70%
Christ Church C of E (Tettenhall Wood)	138,316	17.15%	121,724	15.43%
Total Infant Schools	680,157	13.39%	734,566	14.93%

Special Schools	2011/12		2012/13	
	End of Year Balance	% Budget Share	End of Year Balance	% Budget Share
Green Park	407,440	15.05%	230,966	8.61%
Penn Fields	505,171	26.90%	538,173	27.39%
Penn Hall	542,006	25.02%	685,829	31.24%
Broadmeadow Nursery	129,050	12.62%	97,791	9.73%
Tettenhall Wood	646,140	43.29%	555,365	31.83%
Westcroft	465,442	21.50%	492,833	22.04%
New Park	198,981	14.20%	79,309	4.82%
Total Special Schools	2,894,230	22.55%	2,680,265	19.89%

Nursery Schools	2011/12		2012/13	
	End of Year Balance	% Budget Share	End of Year Balance	% Budget Share
Ashmore Park	155,357	46.91%	152,252	51.46%
Bushbury Nursery	140,659	39.75%	127,058	38.96%
Eastfield Nursery	130,579	31.85%	136,609	37.50%
Low Hill Nursery	156,324	45.90%	192,503	54.75%
Phoenix Nursery	34,693	13.78%	21,650	8.99%
Windsor Nursery	97,689	26.80%	89,918	26.10%
Bilston Nursery	54,702	17.56%	124,184	38.22%
Total Nursery Schools	770,002	32.58%	844,173	37.55%

Total All Schools	19,280,039	18,103,654
--------------------------	-------------------	-------------------



Cabinet (Resources) Panel

28 January 2014

Report title	Schedule of Green Decisions	
Decision designation	AMBER	
Cabinet member with lead responsibility	All	
Key decision	No	
In forward plan	No	
Wards affected	All	
Accountable director	Keith Ireland, Delivery	
Originating service	Democratic Services	
Accountable employee(s)	Matthew Vins	Graduate Management Trainee
	Tel	01902 554070
	Email	Matthew.vins@wolverhampton.gov.uk
Report to be/has been considered by	N/A	

Recommendations for noting:

The Cabinet (Resources) Panel is asked to note:

The summary of open and exempt green decisions approved by the Designated Officer following consultation with the appropriate Cabinet Member.

SCHEDULE OF GREEN DECISIONS

PART I - OPEN ITEMS

<u>Originating Service</u>	<u>Title and Summary of Decision</u>	<u>Designated Director/Officer</u>	<u>Cabinet Member Consulted</u>	<u>Date Approved</u>	<u>Contact Officer</u>
1. Office of the Chief Executive	-	-	-	-	-
2. Community					
3. Delivery					
4. Education and Enterprise					

PART II - EXEMPT ITEMS

<u>Originating Service</u>	<u>Title and Summary of Decision</u>	<u>Designated Director/Officer</u>	<u>Cabinet Member Consulted</u>	<u>Date Approved</u>	<u>Contact Officer</u>
1. Office of the Chief Executive					
2. Community	<p>(a) Wolverhampton Troubled Families (Family Focus) programme – request to use Grant Agreements to secure partnership delivery That the Cabinet member for Children and Families, in consultation with the Strategic Director Community:</p> <p>(i) Agree to the use of the Wolverhampton City Council standard grant agreement document as part of the grant giving process.</p>	Strategic Director Community	Councillor Gibson	14.01.14	Bridget Pugh Ext: 4180
3. Delivery	<p>(a) Rebranding of the catering outlet in the Civic Centre That the Cabinet member for City Services, in consultation with the Assistant Director Finance:</p> <p>(i) Agree to the rebranding of the Civic Centre catering outlet (ii) Authorise the Assistant Director Finance to extend the arrangements on an on-going basis providing the business case continues to return a net profit</p>	Assistant Director Finance	Councillor Reynolds	10.01.14	Chris East Ext: 0132 Peter Oakeshott Ext: 4132

<u>Originating Service</u>	<u>Title and Summary of Decision</u>	<u>Designated Director/Officer</u>	<u>Cabinet Member Consulted</u>	<u>Date Approved</u>	<u>Contact Officer</u>
4. Education and Enterprise	<p>(a) Approval of Financial Close and Contract Award for Building Schools for the Future (BSF) – Phase 3C Scheme Schools</p> <p>That the Cabinet member for Resources and the Cabinet member for Schools, Skills and Learning, in consultation with the Strategic Director Education and Enterprise, authorise the Chief Legal Officer to execute the project documents and ancillary documents for the BSF PFI Phase 3C, by affixing the Council's common seal in accordance with the Council's Constitution.</p>	Strategic Director Education and Enterprise	Councillor Johnson Councillor Page	10.01.14	Tim Lunn Ext: 0559